EXHIBIT 9

Robert S. Arns, State Bar No. 65071 1 RSA@ARNSLAW.COM Jonathan E. Davis, State Bar No. 191346 2 JED@ARNSLAW.COM Steven R. Weinmann, State Bar No. 190956 3 SRW@ARNSLAW.COM 4 THE ARNS LAW FIRM 515 Folsom Street, 3rd Floor 5 San Francisco, CA 94105 (415) 495-7800 Tel: 6 Fax: (415) 495-7888 7 Jonathan M. Jaffe, State Bar No. 267012 JMJ@JAFFE-LAW.COM 8 JONATHAN JAFFE LAW 3055 Hillegass Avenue Berkeley, ČA 94705 9 (510) 725-4293 Tel: 10 (510) 868-3393 Fax: 11 Attorneys for Plaintiffs 12 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 13 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA SAN JOSE DIVISION 14 15 Case No. CV 11-01726 LHK PSG ANGEL FRALEY; PAUL WANG; SUSAN MAINZER; JAMES H. DUVAL, a minor, by 16 and through JAMES DUVAL, as Guardian ad Litem; and WILLIAM TAIT, a minor, by and PLAINTIFF SUSAN MAINZER'S 17 through RUSSELL TAIT, as Guardian ad Litem; RESPONSES TO DEFENDANT'S individually and on behalf of all others similarly INTERROGATORIES 18 situated, **SET ONE** 19 Plaintiffs, Courtroom: 8 20 v. Judge: Hon. Lucy H. Koh 21 Trial Date: December 3, 2012 FACEBOOK, INC., a corporation; and DOES 1-100. 22 Defendants. 23 24 Defendant FACEBOOK, INC. PROPOUNDING PARTY: 25 Plaintiff SUSAN MAINZER RESPONDING PARTY: 26 27 SET NUMBER: ONE 28 -1-

Case5:11-cv-01726-LHK Document183-9 Filed06/20/12 Page3 of 4

endorsements and the fact that Facebook charges more for them. The Plaintiffs' right to be paid also stems from the common and statutory right of publicity (Civil Code section 3344), and from the common law of unjust enrichment which, provides that non-celebrities are to be compensated for nonconsensual uses of their names and likenesses in advertisements. The value of Plaintiff's endorsement is at least \$750 per endorsement.

INTERROGATORY NO. 8:

DESCRIBE each instance in which YOU have been offered, and/or have received compensation or other consideration of any kind to endorse, advertise, suggest, or recommend any PERSON, organization, cause, good, or service at any time during YOUR life.

INTERROGATORY NO. 8:

Plaintiff has not received such compensation or consideration, apart from as connected to her professional work in public relations. However, in that role, she has received compensation as to events where she has participated as a speaker and has been included in the advertisements for the events.

INTERROGATORY NO. 9:

IDENTIFY the NAME of each of YOUR "Friends" on Facebook that YOU contend considered YOU a "celebrity," as asserted in Plaintiffs' Opposition to Facebook's Motion to Dismiss, at 9:3.

INTERROGATORY NO. 11:

DESCRIBE what value, benefit or other consideration, if any, YOU have received, directly or indirectly, as a result of using Facebook.

RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 11:

Plaintiff objects to this Interrogatory as vague and ambiguous to the extent that "value" and "benefit" are undefined, and construes the words to mean monetary compensation or the ability to access information, or applications / software. Subject to those objections and the General Objections, Plaintiff responds as follows: Plaintiff can share things she wants to share with people. Plaintiff is, for all practical purposes, required to use Facebook for her business, so the value is the value of not being prevented to use Facebook as a marketing tool

INTERROGATORY NO. 12:

For each of the websites identified in response to Interrogatory No. 6, DESCRIBE the circumstances under which YOU have shared content with others (including, without limitation, content CONCERNING a product, service, website, brand, organization, celebrity, musician, band event, or other content), including, with respect to each piece of content shared, the date(s) YOU shared the content, a description of the content shared, the website the content was shared on, and the reasons(s) YOU shared the content.

RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 12:

Plaintiff objects to this Interrogatory as overbroad, unduly burdensome, and not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. Subject to those objections and the General Objections, Plaintiff responds as follows:

With regards to Flickr, Plaintiff has uploaded a single set of photos for an event of one of her clients, in approximately June, 2009.